

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/752,393	12/28/2000	Ji-Young Kim	678-580 (P9655)	678-580 (P9655) 9536	
28249	7590 09/30/2004		EXAMINER		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			VU, KIEU D		
	DVINGTON BLVD. E, NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/752,393	KIM, JI-YOUNG	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kieu D Vu	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apportion or in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 August 2004</u> . Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•	•	rth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	is.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	· ·		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	AYMOND J. B	NEDI
10. Other:	- 7/		
		RIMARY EXA	
		ART UNIT 2	173

2a) The combination of Parks and Finke-Ankauff teaches finally rejection claims as clearly presented in the Final Office Action.

As proposed, claims 1, 5, and 8 contain new limitation "a main menu index or a sub-menu index" that has not been earlier presented and would require further search and consideration.